

**Perspectives on Improvement of Reproduction in Cattle during Heat Stress in a Future
Japan**

Hiroya KADOKAWA¹, Miki SAKATANI², and Peter J. HANSEN³

¹*Department of Veterinary Science, Yamaguchi University, Yoshida 1677-1, Yamaguchi,
Japan;*

²*Kyushu Okinawa Agricultural Research Center, National Agriculture and Food
Research Organization, 2421 Suya, Koshi, Kumamoto, Japan; and*

³*Department of Animal Sciences, University of Florida, PO Box 110910, Gainesville, FL
32611-0910, USA*

Running Head: NEW PERSPECTIVES ON HEAT STRESS IN CATTLE

Correspondence: Hiroya Kadokawa, Department of Veterinary Science, Yamaguchi
University, Yoshida 1677-1, Yamaguchi, 753-8515, Japan.

Phone: +81-90-3899-9086; Facsimile: +81-83-933-5938;

Email: hiroya@yamaguchi-u.ac.jp

ABSTRACT

Heat stress (HS) causes hyperthermia, and at its most severe form, can lead to death. More commonly, HS reduces feed intake, milk yield, growth rate and reproductive function in many mammals and birds, including the important cattle breeds in Japan. Rectal temperatures greater than 39.0°C and respiration rates greater than 60 per minute indicate cows are undergoing HS sufficient to affect milk yield and fertility. Heat stress compromises oocyte quality and embryonic development, reduces expression of estrus and changes secretion of several reproductive hormones. One of the most effective ways to reduce the magnitude of HS is embryo transfer, which bypasses the inhibitory effects of HS on the oocyte and early embryo. It may also be possible to select for genetic resistance to HS. Cooling can also improve reproductive performance in cows and heifers, and probably, the most effective cooling systems currently in use are those that couple evaporative cooling with tunnel ventilation or cross ventilation. Its effect to improve reproductive performance in Japan remains to be evaluated.

Key words: *heat stress, embryo transfer, cattle reproduction, thermoregulation.*

1 INTRODUCTION

2 Heat stress (HS) can be defined as the forces external to the animal that act to
3 displace body temperature from set-point temperature (Hansen 2009). Body
4 temperature is closely regulated by matching heat production with heat loss to the
5 environment via conduction, convection, radiation and evaporation. At its most severe,
6 HS induces heat stroke and death in domestic animals. It also reduces feed intake,
7 productivity and reproduction (Collier *et al.* 2006; Hansen 2009). An example of a cow
8 exposed to HS is shown in Figure 1.

9 Japan has experienced unusual summer weather in the past two decades, most
10 notably in 1994 and 2010. In 1994, 4,258 dairy cows were killed by HS, and financial
11 losses totaled 127 million yen. The Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries
12 reported that the 2010 summer heat wave of 1 July to 15 August killed 959 dairy cows,
13 235 beef cattle, 657 pigs, and 425,000 chickens. A particularly serious loss occurred in
14 Tohoku region located in the northeastern portion of Honshu island. The climate of
15 Tohoku is cooler than in other parts of Honshu and the severity of the HS problem might
16 have been due to a failure of animals to be sufficiently adapted to HS or by failure of
17 farmers to take effective countermeasures. The importance of HS for dairy production
18 can be recognized by not only considering deaths caused by HS but by the loss of milk
19 production and reproduction (Kadokawa 2011).

20 Heat stress is not confined to dairy cows in hot climates. Indeed, hyperthermia
21 occurs in lactating dairy cows at temperatures as low as 25 to 28°C (Berman *et al.* 1985;
22 Sartori *et al.* 2002) and decreased reproductive function during the summer has been
23 reported in regions with temperate climates (Udomprasert & Williamson 1987; Sartori
24 *et al.* 2002; Ambrose *et al.* 2006). The summer suppression of production and
25 reproduction in Holsteins occurs even in Hokkaido (Kadokawa 2007), which is cool and
26 one of the most important dairy farming area in Japan. Lactating cows are more

sensitive to HS than non-lactating heifers (Badinga *et al.* 1985; Sartori *et al.* 2002) because lactating cows consume more feed and produce more heat than nonlactating heifers (Berman 2005). Nonetheless, HS can affect non-lactating cows. Recently, Sakatani *et al.* (2011) reported that summer heat affects estrous behavior and reproductive function in Japanese Black beef cattle.

The inhibitory effects of HS on production and fertility are likely to increase (Hansen 2007), given that increased heat generation due to improvements in milk production can make it more difficult to regulate body temperature during HS (Berman *et al.* 1985; Berman 2005). Global climate change will also exacerbate the problem of HS. Therefore, strategies that mitigate the negative effects of HS on reproductive function are likely to become essential for continued improvement in reproductive efficiency of dairy and beef cows.

This review aims to briefly describe mechanisms by which HS compromises reproduction and describe countermeasures that can be taken at the animal and facility level to reduce the impact of HS.

EVALUATION INDICES OF THE MAGNITUDE OF HS IN SUMMER

The magnitude of HS is caused by the combined effects of dry bulb temperature (T_{db}), humidity, solar radiation, and wind speed. Japan has four distinct seasons, autumn, winter, spring, and summer but a variety of climates because of the wide range of latitude (from 25 degN to 45 degN) and longitude (from 122 degE to 145 degE) encompassing the country. Two primary factors influence Japan's climate: its location near the Asian continent and the existence of major oceanic currents.

In general, Japan is a rainy country and the climate from June to September is marked by hot, wet weather brought by tropical airflows from the Pacific Ocean and Southeast Asia. There is a marked rainy season, Tsuyu, that begins in early June and

continues for about a month. It is followed by a hot and sticky summer, with T_{db} as high as 40°C and relative humidity as high as 92 %. Five or six typhoons pass over or near Japan every year from early August to early September. About 70 to 80 percent of the 100-200 cm annual precipitation falls in the period between June and September.

Given the wide variety of climates in Japan, it would be useful to have an index estimating the magnitude of HS to aid farmers. Many temperature-humidity indices (THI) have been developed but these are only slightly better than T_{db} alone in predicting rectal temperature during HS (Dikman & Hansen 2009). In Florida USA, a T_{db} of 29.7°C was associated with an average rectal temperature of 39°C (mild hyperthermia), and a T_{db} of 31.4°C was associated with an average rectal temperature of 39.5°C (Dikmen & Hansen 2009). Using dairy cows in south-western Japan (Kumamoto prefecture), Tani *et al.* (2010) reported that pregnant cows had lower rectal temperature than non-pregnant cow at Day 7 (38.7°C vs. 39.4°C, $P<0.05$) or 8 (38.8°C vs. 39.1°C, $P<0.05$) after artificial insemination (AI). Probably the best method for assessing HS is to measure rectal temperatures and respiration rates during the afternoon in a few sentinel cows. Rectal temperatures greater than 39.0°C, and respiration rates greater than 60 per minute indicate cows are undergoing HS sufficient to affect milk yield and fertility. This recommendation is based on the observation that milk yield declined when rectal temperatures reached (39°C) (Zimbelman *et al.* 2009) and that conception rate declined 6.9-12.8% for each 0.5°C increase in uterine temperature above the mean temperature of 38.3-38.6°C (Gwazdauskas *et al.* 1973). Note that rectal temperature is about 0.2°C lower than uterine temperature (Gwazdauskas *et al.* 1973).

EFFECTS OF HEAT STRESS ON CONCEPTION RATES

In many areas of the world, conception rates decrease dramatically in dairy cows in the summer compared with other seasons (Zeron *et al.* 2001; Sartori *et al.* 2002;

Garcia-Ispuerto *et al.* 2007; Huang *et al.* 2008; Flamenbaum & Galon 2010). Summer HS can also decrease the conception rates of beef cows (Azzam *et al.* 1989) including Japanese Black cows in southern part of Japan (Ogawa *et al.* 1978).

There are many causes for low conception rate during the summer including reduced oocyte quality (Gendelman *et al.* 2010; Sherab-El-Deen *et al.* 2010), failure of fertilization (Sartori *et al.* 2002), reduced embryonic development (Ealy *et al.* 1993; Sartori *et al.* 2002), and altered secretion of various hormones.

Oocytes collected from Holstein cows during summer possess decreased ability to develop to the blastocyst stage after in vitro fertilization (IVF) when compared with oocytes collected during winter (Rocha *et al.* 1998; Al-Katanani *et al.* 2002a, Gendelman *et al.* 2010). Lower fertility of repeat-breeder Holstein cows is associated with poor oocyte quality and this negative effect is enhanced during HS (Ferreira *et al.* 2011). The mechanism by which HS during oogenesis compromises oocyte function is likely to involve alterations in follicular function. Heat stress causes deviations in follicular growth by increasing numbers of small and medium follicles (Roth *et al.* 2000) and reducing the ability of the dominant follicle to exert dominance (Wolfenson *et al.* 1995).

Heat stress can alter steroid secretion in goat and dairy cows (Ozawa *et al.* 2005; Wilson *et al.* 1988). Plasma concentrations of progesterone and LH can decrease during the summer in dairy cows (Wolfenson *et al.* 2000). In the goat, HS decreases ovarian LH receptors (Ozawa *et al.* 2005), and HS reduces follicular responsiveness to LH (Kanai *et al.* 1995). Heat stress reduces circulating concentrations of inhibin and increases FSH secretion (Roth *et al.* 2000). Hyperthermia also affects cellular function in various tissues of the female reproductive tract including the follicle, oocyte and the embryo (Wolfenson *et al.* 2000; Hansen 2009).

EFFECTS OF HEAT STRESS ON ESTRUS DETECTION

1 Detection of estrus becomes difficult under HS, because dairy cows have reduced
2 signs and duration of estrus during summer compared to winter (Monty & Wolff 1974;
3 Wolff & Monty 1974; Piccione *et al.* 2003). Recently, Sakatani *et al.* (2011) reported that
4 walking activity during estrus was less in the summer compared to the winter in
5 Japanese Black cattle. Sakatani *et al.* (2011) also reported that duration of the estrous
6 cycle was longer in summer (23.4 days, $P < 0.05$) than winter (21.5 days) in this breed.

7 One possible reason for the reduced estrous behavior and extended estrous cycle
8 in summer is a reduction in concentrations of estradiol-17 β (Wilson *et al.* 1998). Pulsatile
9 LH secretion, which is important to stimulate estradiol-17 β secretion, is suppressed in
10 dairy cows during summer (Gilad *et al.* 1993). Female goats under HS have a
11 suppressed LH surge response to gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) (Kanai *et al.*
12 1995). A reduction in the LH preovulatory surge could conceivably lead to delayed
13 ovulation (Siddiqui *et al.* 2010).

14 Recently, suppression of pulsatile LH release and the preovulatory LH surge
15 reported previously in hot climates (Wise *et al.* 1988; Gilad *et al.* 1993; Chebel *et al.*
16 2004) has been also reported to occur in Hokkaido in northern Japan (Kadokawa 2007).
17 Prepubertal heifers received a GnRH injection in May, July or November, and serial
18 blood samples were collected to analyze the LH response curve. There were no
19 significant differences in basal or peak LH concentrations or the area under the LH
20 response curve among the three groups. However, the July group experienced the LH
21 peak sooner ($P < 0.05$) than the May group. Therefore, HS may change facets of the
22 mechanism controlling LH release in response to GnRH.

23 One effective way to bypass effects of HS on detection of estrus is to implement
24 timed artificial insemination programs in which various drugs such as GnRH,
25 prostaglandin F_{2 α} and progesterone are used to program ovulation (Hansen & Arechiga
26 1999).

EMBRYO TRANSFER TO OVERCOME HEAT STRESS

Reductions in estrus detection may be overcome by the use of ovulation synchronization protocols like OvSynch, but preventing infertility caused by HS has been more difficult. The reproductive performance of Holstein cows compromised by HS can be improved by embryo transfer (ET). Early stage embryos are more susceptible to HS than the later stage embryos (blastocysts) (Hansen 2009). Embryo transfer improves pregnancy rates in summer because embryos are transferred after the time at which they are most sensitive to HS. Compared to AI, pregnancy rate for cows exposed to HS has been improved by transfer of either unfrozen embryos produced by superovulation (Ambrose *et al.* 1999; Demetrio *et al.* 2006) or in vitro production (IVP) (Al-Katanani *et al.* 2002b; Stewart *et al.* 2011) or by transfer of cryopreserved embryos produced by superovulation (Ambrose *et al.* 1999). In Kumamoto Prefecture where is very hot in summer, transfer of an IVP Japanese Black embryo following AI of Holstein semen in dairy cows resulted in greater pregnancy rate than for pregnancy rate after conventional AI (Tani *et al.* 2010). On the other hand, embryo transfer has not improved pregnancy rate when cryopreserved embryos produced by in vitro were transferred (Al-Katanani *et al.* 2002b; Stewart *et al.* 2011). The problem of poor estrus detection during HS has been overcome by the development of timed ET procedures based on the use of ovulation synchronization regimens such as OvSynch developed for timed AI (Al-Katanani *et al.* 2002b; Stewart *et al.* 2011).

In a recent study, transfer of fresh IVP embryos using sex-sorted semen to lactating dairy cows during summer increased the percentage of cows that established pregnancy and that gave birth to a live heifer compared with cows bred by AI with conventional semen (Stewart *et al.* 2011).

Despite the effectiveness of ET during the summer, use of this approach commercially has been limited. The high costs of embryo production by superovulation and transvaginal ovum pickup may be overcome through the use of abattoir-derived oocytes in conjunction with IVP. However, decreased survival following cryopreservation (Al-Katanani *et al.* 2002b; Stewart *et al.* 2011) limits the widespread application of IVP embryos in the commercial dairy industry. Improvements in the culture media to produce embryos offers opportunities for producing embryos in vitro with high potential for surviving cryopreservation and for establishment of pregnancy, although IVP systems are still not optimal (Block *et al.* 2010; Stewart *et al.* 2011).

Insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF1) can improve resistance of day 5 preimplantation embryos to heat shock but not two-cell embryos (Jousan & Hansen 2007; Bonilla *et al.* 2011). Treatment of cultured embryos with IGF1 improves embryo survival after transfer into heat-stressed recipients but not after transfer into recipients not exposed to HS (Block & Hansen 2007; Loureiro *et al.* 2009).

NUTRITIONAL MANAGEMENT

An effective nutritional strategy for reducing effects of HS on reproduction has not yet been developed. One approach has been to administer antioxidants predicated on the idea that free radicals generated as a result of hyperthermia contribute to increased embryonic mortality (Hansen 2007). However, fertility of heat-stressed cows have not been improved by antioxidant treatments, including vitamin E (Paula-Lopes *et al.* 2003), selenium (Paula-Lopes *et al.* 2003), and short-term treatment with β -carotene (Arechiga *et al.* 1998a). However, long-term feeding of β -carotene (at least 90 days) did improve the proportion of cows pregnant by 120 days postpartum (Arechiga *et al.* 1998b). Other nutritional approaches, such as feeding polyunsaturated fatty acids (Bilby *et al.* 2006) and yeast cultures (Bruno *et al.* 2009), did not cause a significant effect on fertility in

dairy cows.

Feeding encapsulated niacin increased evaporative heat loss during peak thermal load and was associated with a small but detectable reduction in rectal and vaginal temperatures in lactating dairy cows experiencing mild HS (Zimbelman *et al.* 2010). Effects of feeding encapsulated niacin on fertility under HS remains to be evaluated.

GENETIC IMPROVEMENT

There is sufficient diversity among beef breeds in thermotolerance to allow utilization of tropically-adapted breeds in some countries (Hansen 2009). However, such a strategy is not feasible for Japan, because Japanese Black cattle have advantages in terms of meat quality that cannot be found in other breeds. In dairy cattle, the differences in milk yield between tropically-adapted breeds and breeds from Northern Europe that have been selected for milk yield are so great that it is not economically feasible to make extensive use of tropically-adapted breeds in many situations including those in Japan and the United States.

While introduction of tropically-adapted breeds is not always feasible, it is likely that selection for thermotolerance within a breed is possible. Estimates of the heritability of rectal temperature ranged from 0.25 to 0.66 (Finch 1986). Advances in molecular genetics may simplify selection for thermotolerance. Genetic markers for thermotolerance have been identified (Hayes *et al.* 2009) as well as single nucleotide polymorphisms in specific genes such as *ATPLA1* (Liu *et al.* 2011) that affect body temperature regulation during HS. The importance of genotype for a single gene has been shown by Dikmen *et al.* (2008) who studied the effect of introduction of the slick gene affecting hair length into Holsteins. Slick-haired Holstein cows regulated body temperature more effectively than wild-type cows under high ambient temperature.

FACILITIES FOR REDUCING HEAT STRESS

Increased uterine temperature both on the day of insemination and the day after had the greatest negative effect on conception (Thatcher 1974). In Florida (Ealy *et al.* 1994), pregnancy rates were higher in cows cooled by both sprinklers and forced ventilation during final maturation of oocytes and early embryonic development (from 2 to 3 days before until 5 to 6 days after AI) compared with those cows exposed to shade only. In Iran (Moghaddam *et al.* 2009), dairy heifers cooled with sprinkler and fan from 2 h before until 2 h after AI experienced lower rectal temperature (38.7C) than those of cows with sprinklers alone (39.2C) or without cooling (39.3C) at the time of AI and had higher pregnancy rate during heat stress, compared with heifers cooled with sprinkler only and heifers without cooling. Although seasonal variation in reproductive function can persist after altering environment through methods such as shade, fans, and sprinklers (Hansen & Arechiga 1999), the magnitude of seasonal effects is reduced. Therefore, cooling during the summer heat season is very important to reduce body temperature and improve reproductive performance in cows and, in some circumstances, heifers.

Cooling can be of reduced effectiveness when air temperatures are very high, because of reduced conductive and convective cooling, or when humidity is high, because of reduced evaporative heat loss. Evaporative cooling may also not be desirable when straw bedding is used because of the possibility that increased humidity can increase the number of bacteria in the straw (Ward *et al.* 2002).

Probably, the most effective cooling systems currently in use are those that couple evaporative cooling with tunnel ventilation or cross ventilation. In these systems, fans draw air through the end (tunnel ventilation) or side wall (cross ventilation) and the air is humidified by high pressure misters over feed bunks and free stalls. Smith *et al.* (2006a, b) reported that evaporative tunnel ventilation caused increased feed intake

(12% over cows housed outside) and milk yield (2.6 kg/cow per day). In Utsunomiya University located in east Japan, a tunnel ventilation system in a small scale stanchion shed (10 lactating dairy cows) improved body condition and lactation performance in the summer heat (Nagao *et al.* 2009). Moreover, further studies are warranted to evaluate the effects of evaporative tunnel and cross ventilation on reproductive performance in cows and heifers in Japan and to evaluate its cost-effectiveness under Japanese conditions.

CONCLUSIONS

Heat stress can have severe effects on productivity of livestock in Japan as well as many other areas of the world. Rectal temperatures greater than 39.0°C and respiration rates greater than 60 per minute indicate cows are undergoing HS sufficient to affect milk yield and fertility. Methods to alleviate effects of HS include ET to improve fertility. Given the growing importance of HS, development of new approaches to combat HS is justified. Advances in genetic technologies make it likely that cattle can be made more resistant to HS without compromising production. It may be beneficial to introduce evaporative tunnel or cross ventilation systems into Japan to cool cows body and reduce body temperature, although study to determine effectiveness of these housing systems is warranted. More research into this question and others will be required to overcome HS.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Hiroya Kadokawa was supported by the Japan Livestock Technology Association to visit various states in the USA and collect information on the impact of HS in various domestic animals. Hiroya Kadokawa thanks Dr. Lance H. Baumgard of Iowa State University for assistance in collecting information regarding HS in Iowa and Arizona. The authors thank Dr. Jose E. P. Santos of the University of Florida for permission to

use the photograph for Figure 1. Miki Sakatani was supported by an international study scholarship from the National Agriculture and Food Research Organization to study at the University of Florida. Peter Hansen was supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science for a short-term exchange program at the Kyushu Okinawa Agricultural Research Center, Kumamoto, Japan. Heat stress research by Peter Hansen has been supported in part by Agriculture and Food Research Initiative Competitive Grant no. 2010-2010-85122-20633 from the USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture.

REFERENCES

- Al-Katanani YM, Paula-Lopes FF, Hansen PJ. 2002a. Effect of season and exposure to heat stress on oocyte competence in Holstein cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* **85**, 390–396.
- Al-Katanani YM, Drost M, Monson RL, Rutledge JJ, Krininger III CE, Block J, Thatcher WW, Hansen PJ. 2002b. Pregnancy rates following timed embryo transfer with fresh or vitrified in vitro produced embryos in lactating dairy cows under heat stress conditions. *Theriogenology* **58**, 171–182.
- Ambrose JD, Drost M, Monson RL, Rutledge JJ, Leibfried-Rutledge ML, Thatcher MJ, Kassa T, Binelli M, Hansen PJ, Chenoweth PJ, Thatcher WW. 1999. Efficacy of timed embryo transfer with fresh and frozen in vitro produced embryos to increase pregnancy rates in heat-stressed dairy cattle. *Journal of Dairy Science* **82**, 2369–2376.
- Ambrose DJ, Govindarajan T, Goonewardene LA. 2006. Conception rate and pregnancy loss rate in lactating Holstein cows of a single herd following timed insemination or insemination at detected estrus. *Journal of Dairy Science* **89** (Suppl. 1), 213-214.
- Arechiga CF, Vazquez-Flores S, Ortiz O, Hernandez-Ceron J, Porras A, McDowell LR,

- 1 Hansen PJ. 1998a. Effect of injection of β -carotene or vitamin E and selenium on
2 fertility of lactating dairy cows. *Theriogenology* **50**, 65–76.
- 3 Arechiga CF, Staples CR, McDowell LR, Hansen PJ. 1998b. Effects of timed
4 insemination and supplemental b-carotene on reproduction and milk yield of dairy
5 cows under heat stress. *Journal of Dairy Science* **81**, 390–402.
- 6 Azzam S, Kinder JN, Nielsen MK. 1989. Conception rate at first insemination in beef
7 cattle: effects of season, age and previous reproductive performance. *Journal of*
8 *Animal Science* **67**, 1405-1410.
- 9 Badinga L, Collier RJ, Thatcher WW, Wilcox CJ. 1985. Effects of climatic
10 andmanagement factors on conception rate of dairy cattle in subtropical
11 environment. *Journal of Dairy Science* **68**, 78-85.
- 12 Berman A, Folman Y, Kaim M, Mamen M, Herz Z, Wolfenson D, Arieli A, Graber Y. 1985.
13 Upper critical temperatures and forced ventilation effects for high-yielding dairy
14 cows in a subtropical climate. *Journal of Dairy Science* **68**, 1488-1495.
- 15 Berman A. 2005. Estimates of heat stress relief needs for Holstein dairy cows. *Journal of*
16 *Animal Science* **83**, 1377-1384.
- 17 Bilby TR, Block J, do Amaral BC, Sa Filho O, Silvestre FT, Hansen PJ, Staples CR,
18 Thatcher WW. 2006. Effects of dietary unsaturated fatty acids on oocyte quality and
19 follicular development in lactating dairy cows in summer. *Journal of Dairy Science*
20 **89**, 3891-3903.
- 21 Block J, Hansen PJ. 2007. Interaction between season and culture with insulin-like
22 growth factor-1 on survival of in vitro produced embryos following transfer to
23 lactating dairy cows. *Theriogenology* **67**, 1518–1529.
- 24 Block J, Bonilla L, Hansen, PJ. 2010. Improving the efficacy of in-vitro embryo transfer
25 in lactating dairy cows: use of a novel embryo culture medium to improve embryo
26 development, survival following vitrification and pregnancy success. *Journal of*

1 *Dairy Science* **93**, 5234-5242.

2 Bonilla AQ, Oliveira LJ, Ozawa M, Newsom EM, Lucy MC, Hansen PJ. 2011.

3 Developmental changes in thermoprotective actions of insulin-like growth factor-1

4 on the preimplantation bovine embryo. *Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology* **332**,

5 170-179.

6 Bruno RG, Rutigliano H, Cerri RL, Robinson PH, Santos JE. 2009. Effect of feeding

7 yeast culture on reproduction and lameness in dairy cows under heat stress. *Animal*

8 *Reproduction Science* **113**, 11–21.

9 Chebel RC, Santos JE, Reynolds JP, Cerri RL, Juchem SO, Overton M. 2004. Factors

10 affecting conception rate after artificial insemination and pregnancy loss in

11 lactating dairy cows. *Animal Reproduction Science* **84**, 239-255.

12 Collier RJ, Dahl GE, VanBaale MJ. 2006. Major advances associated with

13 environmental effects on dairy cattle. *Journal of Dairy Science* **89**, 1244-1253.

14 Demetrio DGB, Santos RM, Demetrio CGB, Rodriques CA, Vasconcelos JLM. 2006.

15 Factors affecting conception of AI or ET in lactating cows. *Journal of Dairy Science*

16 **89 (Suppl 1)**, 207.

17 Dikmen S, Alava E, Pontes E, Fear JM, Dikmen BY, Olson TA, Hansen PJ. 2008.

18 Differences in thermoregulatory ability between slick-haired and wild-type

19 lactating Holstein cows in response to acute heat stress. *Journal of Dairy Science* **91**,

20 3395-3402.

21 Dikmen S, Hansen PJ. 2009. Is the temperature-humidity index the best indicator of

22 heat stress in lactating dairy cows in a subtropical environment? *Journal of Dairy*

23 *Science* **92**, 109-116.

24 Ealy AD, Drost M, Hansen, PJ. 1993. Developmental changes in embryonic resistance to

25 adverse effects of maternal heat stress in cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* **76**,

26 2899-2905.

- 1 Ealy AD, Aréchiga CF, Bray DR, Risco CA, Hansen PJ. 1994. Effectiveness of short-term
2 cooling and vitamin E for alleviation of infertility induced by heat stress in dairy
3 cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* **77**, 3601-3607.
- 4 Ferreira RM, Ayres H, Chiaratti MR, Ferraz ML, Araújo AB, Rodrigues CA, Watanabe
5 YF, Vireque AA, Joaquim DC, Smith LC, Meirelles FV, Baruselli PS. 2011. The low
6 fertility of repeat-breeder cows during summer heat stress is related to a low oocyte
7 competence to develop into blastocysts. *Journal of Dairy Science* **94**, 2383–2392.
- 8 Finch VA. 1986. Body temperature in beef cattle: its control and relevance to production
9 in the tropics. *Journal of Animal Science* **62**, 531–542.
- 10 Flamenbaum I, Galon N. 2010. Management of heat stress to improve fertility in dairy
11 cows in Israel. *Journal of Reproduction and Development* **56**, S36-41.
- 12 Garcia-Ispuerto I, Lopez-Gatius F, Bech-Sabat G, Santolaria P, Yaniz JL, Nogareda C, De
13 Rensis F, Lopez-Bejar M. 2007. Climate factors affecting conception rate of high
14 producing dairy cows in northeastern Spain. *Theriogenology* **67**, 1379-1385.
- 15 Gendelman M, Aroyo A, Yavin S, Roth Z. 2010. Seasonal effects on gene expression,
16 cleavage timing, and developmental competence of bovine preimplantation embryos.
17 *Reproduction* **140**, 73-82.
- 18 Gilad E, Meidan R, Berman A, Graber Y, Wolfenson D. 1993. Effect of heat stress on
19 tonic and GnRH-induced gonadotrophin secretion in relation to concentration of
20 oestradiol in plasma of cyclic cows. *Journal of Reproduction and Fertility* **99**,
21 315-321.
- 22 Gwazdauskas FC, Thatcher WW, Wilcox CJ. 1973. Physiological, environmental, and
23 hormonal factors at insemination which may affect conception. *Journal of Dairy*
24 *Science* **56**, 873-877.
- 25 Hansen PJ, Arechiga CF. 1999. Strategies for managing reproduction in the
26 heat-stressed dairy cow. *Journal of Animal Science* **77**, 36-50.

- 1 Hansen PJ. 2007. Exploitation of genetic and physiological determinants of embryonic
2 resistance to elevated temperature to improve embryonic survival in dairy cattle
3 during heat stress. *Theriogenology* **68**, S242-S249.
- 4 Hansen PJ. 2009. Effects of heat stress on mammalian reproduction. *Philosophical*
5 *Transaction of the Royal Society of London B Biology Society* **364**, 3341–3350.
- 6 Hayes, BJ, Bowman PJ, Chamberlain AJ, Savin K, van Tassell CP, Sonstegard CS,
7 Goddard ME. 2009. A validated genome wide association study to breed cattle
8 adapted to an environment altered by climate change. *PLoS One* **18**, e6676.
- 9 Huang C, Tsuruta S, Bertrand JK, Misztal I, Lawlor TJ, Clay JS. 2008. Environmental
10 effects on conception rates of holsteins in New York and Georgia. *Journal of Dairy*
11 *Science* **91**, 818-825.
- 12 Jousan FD, Hansen PJ. 2007. Insulin-like growth factor-I promotes resistance of bovine
13 preimplantation embryos to heat shock through actions independent of its
14 anti-apoptotic actions requiring PI3K signaling. *Molecular Reproduction and*
15 *Development* **74**, 189–196.
- 16 Kadokawa H. 2007. Seasonal differences in the parameters of luteinizing hormone
17 release to exogenous GnRH in prepubertal Holstein heifers in Sapporo. *Journal of*
18 *Reproduction and Development* **53**, 121-125.
- 19 Kadokawa H. 2011. New information of countermeasure to heat stress in dairy cows.
20 *Journal of Clinical Veterinary Medicine* **29**, 29-33.
- 21 Kanai Y, Yagyu N, Shimizu T. 1995. Hypogonadism in heat stressed goat: poor
22 responsiveness of the ovary to the pulsatile LH stimulation induced by hourly
23 injection of a small dose of GnRH. *Journal of Reproduction and Development* **41**,
24 133-139.
- 25 Liu Y, Li D, Li H, Zhou X, Wang G. 2011. A novel SNP of the ATP1A1 gene is associated
26 with heat tolerance traits in dairy cows. *Molecular Biology Reports* **38**, 83-88.

- 1 Loureiro B, Bonilla L, Block J, Fear JM, Bonilla AQ, Hansen PJ. 2009.
2 Colony-stimulating factor 2 (CSF-2) improves development and posttransfer
3 survival of bovine embryos produced in vitro. *Endocrinology* **150**, 5046-5054.
- 4 Moghaddam A, Karimi I, Pooyanmehr M. 2009. Effects of short-term cooling on
5 pregnancy rate of dairy heifers under summer heat stress. *Vet Res Commun* **33**,
6 567–575.
- 7 Monty DW Jr, Wolff LK. 1974. Summer heat stress and reduced fertility in Holstein-
8 Friesian cows in Arizona. *American Journal of Veterinary Research* **35**, 1495-1500.
- 9 Nagao Y, Seki N, Ichise M. 2009. Effects of a tunnel ventilation system on productivity of
10 dairy cattle in the summer heat. *Animal behaviour and management* **45**, 153-160.
- 11 Ogawa K, Nakanishi Y, Tojo H, Yanagita K, Nishi I. 1978. An additional field survey in
12 thereproductive activity of the Japanese beef cattle in public breeding farms in
13 Kagoshima prefecture. *Annual Research Report of Kagoshima University* **28**, 9-18.
- 14 Ozawa M, Tabayashi D, Latief TA, Shimizu T, Oshima I, Kanai Y. 2005. Alterations in
15 follicular dynamics and steroidogenic abilities induced by heat stress during
16 follicular recruitment in goats. *Reproduction* **129**, 621–630.
- 17 Paula-Lopes FF, Al-Katanani YM, Majewski AC, McDowell LR, Hansen PJ. 2003.
18 Manipulation of antioxidant status fails to improve fertility of lactating cows or
19 survival of heat-shocked embryos. *Journal of Dairy Science* **86**, 2343–2351.
- 20 Piccione G, Caola G, Refinetti R. 2003. Daily and estrous rhythmicity of body
21 temperature in domestic cattle. *BMC Physiology* **3**, 7.
- 22 Rocha A, Randel RD, Broussard JR, Lim JM, Blair RM, Roussel JD, Godke RA, Hansel
23 W. 1998. High environmental temperature and humidity decrease oocyte quality in
24 *Bos taurus* but not in *Bos indicus* cows. *Theriogenology* **49**, 657–665.
- 25 Roth Z, Meidan R, Braw-Tal R, Wolfenson D. 2000. Immediate and delayed effects of
26 heat stress on follicular development and its association with plasma FSH and

inhibin concentration in cows. *Journal of Reproduction and Fertility* **120**, 83–90.

Sakatani M, Balboula AZ, Yamanaka K, Takahashi M. 2011. Effect of summer heat environment on body temperature, estrous cycles and blood antioxidant levels in Japanese Black cow. *Animal Science Journal* (in press)

Sartori R, Sartor-Bergfelt R, Mertens SA, Guenther JN, Parrish JJ, Wiltbank MC. 2002. Fertilization and early embryonic development in heifers and lactating cows in summer and lactating and dry cows in winter. *Journal of Dairy Science* **85**, 2803-2812.

Shehab-El-Deen M, Leroy J, Fadel M, Saleh S, Maes D, Van Soom A. 2010. Biochemical changes in the follicular fluid of the dominant follicle of high producing dairy cows exposed to heat stress early post-partum. *Animal Reproduction Science* **117**, 189-200.

Siddiqui MA, Ferreira JC, Gastal EL, Beg MA, Cooper DA, Ginther OJ. 2010. Temporal relationships of the LH surge and ovulation to echotexture and power doppler signals of blood flow in the wall of the preovulatory follicle in heifers. *Reproduction, Fertility, and Development* **22**, 1110-1117.

Smith TR, Chapa A, Willard S, Herndon C Jr, Williams RJ, Crouch J, Riley T, Pogue D. 2006a. Evaporative tunnel cooling of dairy cows in the southeast. I: effect on body temperature and respiration rate. *Journal of Dairy Science* **89**, 3904-3914.

Smith TR, Chapa A, Willard S, Herndon C Jr, Williams RJ, Crouch J, Riley T, Pogue D. 2006b. Evaporative tunnel cooling of dairy cows in the southeast. II: impact on lactation performance. *Journal of Dairy Science* **89**, 3915-3923.

Stewart BM, Block J, Morelli P, Navarette AE, Amstalden M, Bonilla L, Hansen PJ, Bilby TR. 2011. Efficacy of embryo transfer in lactating dairy cows during summer using fresh or vitrified embryos produced in vitro with sex-sorted semen. *Journal of Dairy Science* **94**, 3437-3445.

- 1 Tani M, Hayashida T, Tomokawa K, Mito Y, Funakoshi D, Tani C, Sakatani M,
2 Takahashi M, Kitahara G, Kamimura S. 2010. Effect of embryo transfer following
3 artificial insemination (ETFAI) on reproductive performance in dairy cows in
4 South-Western Japan. *Journal of Veterinary Medicine Science* **72**, 627-629.
- 5 Thatcher WW. 1974. Effects of season, climate, and temperature on reproduction and
6 lactation. *Journal of Dairy Science* **57**, 360–368.
- 7 Udomprasert P, Williamson NB. 1987. Seasonal influences on conception efficiency in
8 Minnesota dairy herds. *Theriogenology* **28**, 323-336.
- 9 Ward WR, Hughes JW, Faull WB, Cripps PJ, Sutherland JP, Sutherst JE. 2002.
10 Observational study of temperature, moisture, pH and bacteria in straw bedding,
11 and faecal consistency, cleanliness and mastitis in cows in four dairy herds.
12 *Veterinary Record* **151**, 199-206.
- 13 Willson SJ, Marion RS, Spain JN, Spiers DE, Keisler DH, Lucy MC. 1998. Effects of
14 Controlled Heat Stress on Ovarian Function of Dairy Cattle. 1. Lactating Cows.
15 *Journal of Dairy Science* **81**, 2124-2131.
- 16 Wise ME, Armstrong DV, Huber JT, Hunter R, Wiersma F. 1988. Hormonal alterations
17 in the lactating dairy cow in response to thermal stress. *Journal of Dairy Science* **71**,
18 2480-2485.
- 19 Wolfenson D, Thatcher WW, Badinga L, Savio JD, Meidan R, Lew BJ, Braw-Tal R,
20 Berman A. 1995. Effect of heat stress on follicular development during the estrous
21 cycle in lactating dairy cattle. *Biology of Reproduction* **52**, 1106–1113.
- 22 Wolfenson D, Roth Z, Median R. 2000. Impaired reproduction in heat-stressed cattle:
23 basic and applied aspects. *Animal Reproduction Science* **60-61**, 535-547.
- 24 Wolff LK, Monty DE Jr. 1974. Physiologic response to intense summer heat and its
25 effect on the estrous cycle of nonlactating and lactating Holstein-Friesian cows in
26 Arizona. *American Journal of Veterinary Research* **35**, 187-192.

- 1 Zeron Y, Ocheretny A, Kedar O, Borochoy A, Sklan D, Arav A. 2001. Seasonal changes in
2 bovine fertility: relation to developmental competence of oocytes, membrane
3 properties and fatty acid composition of follicles. *Reproduction* **121**, 447-454.
- 4 Zimbelman RB, Rhoads RP, Rhoads ML, Duff GC, Baumgard LH, Collier RJ. 2009. A
5 re-evaluation of the impact of temperature humidity index (THI) and black globe
6 temperature humidity index (BGHI) on milk production in high producing dairy
7 cows. *Proceedings of the 24th Southwest Nutrition and Management Conference*,
8 Tempe, AZ, USA, pp. 158-168.
- 9 Zimbelman RB, Baumgard LH, Collier RJ. 2010. Effects of encapsulated niacin on
10 evaporative heat loss and body temperature in moderately heat-stressed lactating
11 Holstein cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* **93**, 2387-2394.

12



Figure 1 Panting and drooling of a dairy cow exposed to heat stress in Florida (Photo courtesy of J.E. P. Santos).